107. The Homoallyl-Cyclopropylcarbinyl Cation Rearrangement in the Solvolyses of *exo-* and *endo-5-*Norbornen-2-yl *p-*Bromobenzenesulfonates. Application of ²H-NMR. Spectroscopy¹)

by Ulrich Burger

Département de chimie organique de l'Université, 30, quai Ernest-Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4

and Jean-Marie Sonney²) and Pierre Vogel³)

Institut de chimie organique de l'Université, 2, rue de la Barre, CH-1005 Lausanne, Switzerland

(18.II.80)

Summary

The buffered trifluoroethanolyses and acetolyses of exo-(2-D)-(6) and endo-(2-D)-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylates (7) yielded exo-5-norbornen-2-yl and 3-nortricyclyl derivatives. The deuterium distribution in these products was determined unambiguously by ²H-NMR. and MS. In contrast to previous reports, each hydrogen and, consequently, each deuterium atom could be identified. Product ratio and label distribution in the solvolysis of **6** make unnecessary the intervention of asymmetrical homoallylic cation intermediates. The results are most economically rationalized by invoking symmetrical 3-nortricyclyl ion-pair intermediates.

Introduction. - Since the pioneering work of *Roberts* [2] and *Winstein* [3] on the solvolyses of *exo*- and *endo*-5-norbornen-2-yl derivatives, several authors have attempted to establish the nature of the carbocations involved [4-11]. *Lee* [7] reported the following tritium distribution in the *exo*-5-norbornen-2-yl acetate obtained by buffered acetolysis of (exo-3-T)-exo-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylate:

 $\begin{array}{c} & T \text{ at } C(2): 12.4\% \\ & C(3): 36\% \end{array} \right\} 48.4\% \\ C(1,4,7): 40.1\% \\ & C(5,6): 11.5\% \end{array} \right\} 51.6\%$

These results appeared to provide a rationalization of the discrepancies reported by *Roberts* [4] and *Lee* [10] and by *Cristol* [5], *Kirmse* [8] and *Goering* [9]. It was suggested that the solvolysis involves a pair of enantiomeric homoallyl cations 1 and 2 equilibrating via Wagner-Meerwein and 3,2-hydride shifts. These inter-

¹) This work was presented at the autumn meeting of the 'Société suisse de chimie', Berne, oct. 1977. Preliminary report, [1].

²) Present address: University of California, Dept. of Chemistry, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA.

³⁾ Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.



mediates can further eliminate a proton to form norbornadiene before capture by the solvent [7]. Recent experimental evidence from studies of stable cationic species in solution [12] and in the gas phase [13], as well as 'improved' MO-calculations [14] suggest that the symmetrical cyclopropylcarbinyl cation 4 is not the unique energy minimum on the $C_4H_7^+$ hypersurface. This cation can equilibrate with a pair of asymmetrical species of similar stabilities ($5 \rightleftharpoons 4 \rightleftharpoons 5'$) [14], thus supporting the



suggestions of *Lee* [7] [10]. Nevertheless, this interpretation seemed to be incomplete. First, we do not understand why 1 and 2 should not collapse to the more stable 3-nortricyclyl cation 3 [15] [16]. Secondly, why should the secondary 5-norbornen-2-yl system, for which π -participation cannot be ruled out [9] [17], behave differently from structurally related homoallylic esters (*e.g.:* benzo-5-norbornen-2-yl [18], 5,6-dimethylidene-2-norbornyl [19], 5-bicyclo[2.2.2]octen-2-yl [20], 2-bicyclo[3.2.1]octen-7-yl [21], 3-cyclohexen-1-yl esters [22]), for which cyclo-propylcarbinyl cationic intermediates have been invoked to explain the kinetics and products?

We now show that the solvolyses of exo- and endo-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylates can be adequately explained in terms of the 'free' cation intermediate 3 that equilibrates with symmetrical ion-pairs [23]. We have re-investigated the acetolyses of the exo- and endo-(2-D)-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylate (6 and 7) and report the product analyses for their buffered trifluoroethanolyses.



Results. - The *endo*- and *exo*-(2-D)-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylates **6** and **7**, respectively, were prepared according to literature [6] [10]. Mass spectrometry (MS.) showed 98–99% deuteration; ¹H-NMR. and ²H-{¹H}-NMR. spectra [24] (*cf. Fig. 5*) indicated that more than 95% of the label was at C(2).

Buffered trifluoroethanolysis (CF_3CH_2OH/CH_2Cl_2 1:1+1.2 equiv. pyridine, 25°) of **6** gave a mixture of volatile products in 98% yield, consisting of 6.2% of

Starting material		A x	A x	×A_	× A D	Bicyclic/tricyclic product ratio
	$X = OCH_2CF_2$	9	10	11	12	
6	<u>b)</u>	3.5%	3.5%	46.5%	46.5%	7:93
7)	4.7%	3.3%	46%	46%	8:92
	X=OAc	13	14	15	16	
6)	3.8%	3.8%	46.2%	46.2%	7.5:92.5 9.5:90.5°)
7	>	17.6%	5.4%	38.5%	38.5%	23:77 18:82 ^f)

Table. Product and deuterium label distribution therein for the buffered trifluoroethanolyses and acetolyses of the brosylate 6 and 7^{a})

^{a)} Corrected for 98.5% deuteriation, as measured by MS. ^{b)} At 25°, CF₃CH₂OH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1+1.2 molequiv. pyridine. ^{c)} As ^{b)} but at 80°. ^{d)} At 25°, AcOH+1.2 molequiv. AcOK. ^{e)} As ^{d)} but at 80°. ^{f)} As ^{d)} but at 118° [6].

2exo-(2, 2, 2-trifluoroethoxy)-5-norbornene (isotopomers 9+10), 91.8% of 3-(2, 2, 2-trifluoroethoxy)nortricyclane (isotopomers 11+12) (cf. Table) and 2% of minor compounds (less than 1% of norbornadiene). The products were separated by preparative GC.; their structures were deduced from their spectra and by comparison with the corresponding acetates [5-7] [10].

The ²H-{¹H}-NMR. spectrum of the bicyclic ethers 9+10, showed an equal distribution of the deuterium label at positions *endo*-C(2) and C(1) (*cf. Fig. 1 A*). If one considers the signal/noise ratio S/N=360 (for 0.5 D) (*Fig. 1A*), it appears that less than 0.3% of the deuterium atom is at any other position, corresponding to less than 2% of a rearrangement process scrambling all the H-atoms. MS. analysis of 9+10 [5b] confirmed the presence of $49.3\pm1\%$ D at C(2,3) and $49.3\pm1\%$ at C(1,4,7,5,6). The ²H-{¹H}-NMR. spectrum of the tricyclic ethers 11+12 taken in presence of Eu (fod)₃ (*cf. Fig. 1B*) also showed an equal distribution of the label between positions C(1) and C(6).

Buffered trifluoroethanolysis (80°, 4 days) of the *endo*-brosylate 7 yielded a mixture of volatile products (97-98%) again consisting of compounds 9-12 (less than 1% of norbornadiene, *cf. Table*). These were separated by preparative GC. The ²H-{¹H}-NMR. (*cf. Fig. 2A*) and the MS. of the bicyclic ethers 9+10 showed incomplete scrambling of the deuterium label between positions *endo*-C(2) and C(1) (83% rearrangement, *cf. Table*). However, in the tricyclic ethers 11+12 the label was found equally distributed between positions C(1) and C(6) (*cf. Fig. 2B*). Considering the ratio S/N=430 (for ~0.5 D) (*Fig. 2B*), we can state that less than 0.3% of the deuterium atom in 11+12 is at any other site and less than 2% is distributed simultaneously at all the other positions.



Fig. 1. ${}^{2}H$ -{ ${}^{1}H$ }-NMR. (CCl₄+CDCl₃) spectra of (A) 9+10 and (B) 11+12 (in presence of Eu(fod)₃) obtained by trifluoroethanolysis of 6 at 25°. Assignment was readily made on the basis of the 1:1 relation between ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{2}H$ chemical shifts.

When the trifluoroethanolysis of unlabelled *exo*-brosylate 6' was carried out in the presence of 1.5 mol-equiv. of pyridinium brosylate, we observed the formation of *ca.* 25% of 3-nortricyclyl brosylate 8 after one half-life period (*cf.* the common ion effect on the acetolysis of 6[5a]).

The deuterium distribution observed in the above solvolyses contradicts the tritium label distribution reported by *Lee* [7] for the acetolysis of the *exo*-(3exo-T)-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylate. We have therefore repeated the acetolysis of **6** and **7** and analyzed the resulting acetates **13–16** (*cf. Table*) by our method.

The buffered acetolysis of 6 (0.5 m in AcOH, 1.2 mol-equiv. AcOK, 25°) yielded a mixture of volatile products (98% yield) containing the deuteriated bicyclic and tricyclic acetates 13 + 14 and 15 + 16 (cf. Table), which were separated



Fig. 2. ${}^{2}H-{}^{1}H-NMR$. (CCl₄+CDCl₃) of (A) 9+10 and (B) 11+12 (in presence of Eu(fod)₃) obtained by trifluoroethanolysis of 7 at 80°



Fig. 3. ${}^{2}H$ -{ ${}^{1}H$ }-NMR. (CCl₄+CDCl₃) of (A) 13+14 and (B) 15+16 (in presence of Eu(fod)₃) obtained by acetolysis of 6 at 25°

by preparative GC. (less than 1% of norbornadiene). The ${}^{2}H{}^{1}H{}$ -NMR. (cf. Fig. 3A) and the MS. of 13+14 showed complete scrambling of the deuterium label between endo-C(2) and C(1). Once again, the S/N ratio (Fig. 3A) demonstrates that less than 0.6% deuterium is at any other site. These results, again, are in complete disagreement with those obtained by Lee [7]. In the 3-nortricyclyl acetates 15+16, the deuterium label (cf. Fig. 3B) was found almost equally distributed between C(1) and C(6) (S/N = 184; <0.6% D at any other position, 4% of D simultaneously at all other positions).

The buffered acetolysis of the *endo*-brosylate 7 (AcOH, 1.2 equiv. AcOK, 80°, 4 days) gave a mixture (96% yield) of the volatile acetates 13–16 (<1% norbornadiene). The ²H-{¹H}-NMR. (*cf. Fig. 4A*) and the mass spectra of 13–14 showed that 23–24% of the deuterium label had migrated from C(2) to C(1) (47% rearrangement). When the acetolysis of 7 was run at higher temperature (118°),



Fig. 4. ${}^{2}H$ -{ ${}^{1}H$ }-NMR. spectra of (A) 13+14 and (B) 15+16 obtained by acetolysis of 7 at 80°

29-30% of the deuterium label was found at C(1) (60% of rearrangement [6]). Assuming S/N=385 (Fig. 4A), one can estimate that less than 0.6% of the deuterium atom has a position other than C(1) or C(2). The ${}^{2}H{-}{}^{1}H{-}NMR$. spectrum (+Eu(fod)₃) of the tricyclic acetates 15+16 showed complete scrambling of the label between positions C(1) and C(6) (cf. Fig. 4B [6]).

Within experimental error (see e.g. Fig. 2B, S/N=430), our work confirms the absence of processes involving a) hydrogen migrations, b) elimination – addition (with formation of norbornadiene as intermediate) and c) addition – rearrangement – eliminations.

Discussion. – The discrepancies between our results and those of *Lee et al.* are difficult to explain. We have run several buffered acetolyses of **6** contaminated with various amounts of **7** under the conditions reported [7]. MS. analyses constantly showed a 50:50 distribution of the deuterium label between the positions C(2,3) and C(1,4,5,6,7) in the bicyclic acetates 13+14. We observed that incomplete buffering could alter dramatically the distribution of the products due to the formation of norborna-2, 5 and -2, 7-diyl derivatives [25]. We found that substantial amounts of brosyl chloride (BsCl) used in the preparation of **6** and **7** could contaminate these esters if they were not crystallized with great care. Washing the crude brosylates with ether before recrystallization was necessary to ensure removal of all traces of this contaminant. It is possible, therefore, that buffering can become incomplete if some BsCl contaminates the brosylates under investigation. In that case, 5-norbornen-2-yl and 3-nortricyclyl derivatives can undergo addition-rearrangement-elimination processes [25]. One can envisage a label at C(3) migrating to C(6) in the 5-norbornen-2-yl acetate by such a process, *e.g.*:



The incomplete equilibration of the deuterium label between the positions C(2) and C(1) in the bicyclic ethers 9+10 (83% rearrangement at 80°) and acetates 13+14 (47% rearrangement at 80°, 60% at 118°) is best interpreted by invoking the contribution of a direct solvent attack (S_N2 with inversion) in competition with a S_NI process. Solvent participation being larger with the more nucleophilic AcOH

than with CF₃CH₂OH [26], we expected a more advanced rearrangement in the latter. The larger bicyclic/tricyclic product ratio (cf. Table) in the acetolysis (23:77 at 80°) than in the trifluoroethanolysis of 7 (8:92 at 80°) agrees with our interpretation. Considering the portion of the acetate 13 which arises from direct $S_N 2$ attack on 7 ((17.6-5.4)% = 12.2% of the total mixture 13-16), the calculated bicyclic/tricyclic product ratio 12.3:87.7 is significantly larger than the acetate ratio measured for the acetolysis of 6 (9.5:90.5 at 80°) or 8 (8:92 at 80°). These differences can best be rationalized by invoking the intervention of several symmetrical ion-pairs 17-20 equilibrating with the 'free' 3-nortricyclyl cation (3), e.g.:



The tight ion-pair 17 is expected to yield exclusively 3-nortricyclyl derivatives upon quenching with the solvent (SOH), whereas 19 will generate exo-5-norbornen-2-yl products. The solvent-separated ion-pairs 18 and 20, as well as the 'free' ion 3 are expected to form different mixtures of the tricyclic and bicyclic products upon quenching.

It has been claimed recently [13] that the cyclobutyl cation might be a relatively stable species [27] equilibrating with the cyclopropylcarbinyl cation in the gas phase. Our work does not support the intervention of the corresponding cyclobutyl derivative 23. Ring strain arguments predict this species to be much less stable than 3.

Conclusion. – If asymmetrical intermediates are formed during the buffered trifluoroethanolysis and acetolysis of exo-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylate they must equilibrate or collapse very rapidly to the more stable, symmetrical 3-nortricyclyl cation intermediate (and corresponding ion-pairs). There is no evidence for an energy barrier to the isomerizations of hypothetical 5-norbornen-2-yl cation intermediates (1 \Leftrightarrow 2) and/or to the isomerization of these species to the 3-nortricyclyl

cation $(1 \Leftrightarrow 3, 2 \Leftrightarrow 3)$ [16]. Thus, the 5-norbornen-2-yl/3-nortricyclyl system does not differ significantly from the other related homoallyl/cyclopropylcarbinyl systems [18-22].

We thank the Swiss National Science Foundation (FN. 2.446-0.75 and FN. 2.648-0.76) and the Stipendienfonds der Basler Chemischen Industrie for generous financial support. We are grateful also to Mr. J. P. Saulnier, University of Geneva, for technical assistance in the measurements of deuterium NMR. spectra and to Mr. H. Serra for the MS. measurements.

Experimental Part

General remarks. Melting points (m.p., not corrected), Tottoli apparatus. Mass spectra (MS.) in electron ionization mode, CEC 21-490 Bell-Howell spectrometer. ¹H-NMR. spectra. Bruker WP 80 CW, δ ppm (apparent multiplicity, J Hz, number of protons); s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, qa quadruplet, m multiplet, $\delta_{TMS} = 0.0$ ppm, br. broad. ²H-{¹H}-NMR. spectra, Varian XL 100 (15.4 MHz, FT mode, ¹⁹F resonance of C₆F₆ as external lock-signal), CDCl₃ (δ [ppm] 7.28) as internal reference. Eu(fod)₃ from Willow Brook Labs (Waukesha, Wisc., USA) was used without purification. Vapour phase chromatography (GC.), Varian Aerograph 90 P-3 (Wilkens Instruments and Research) or Fractovap 2400 V (Carlo Erba); integrator HP 3380 A (Hewlett Packard). Abbreviations: aq. aqueous, RT. room temperature, sat. saturated, TLC. thin layer chromatography, THF tetrahydrofuran; *i.V. in vacuo* (vacuum line), BsCl=p-bromobenzenesulfonyl chloride.

Exo-5-Norbornen-2-yl brosylate and acetate [5a], *endo*-5-norbornen-2-yl brosylate and acetate [6] and 3-nortricyclyl brosylate and acetate [28] were prepared according to literature.

Endo-(2exo-D)-5-Norbornen-2-yl brosylate (7) was prepared as reported [6] [10] by reduction of 5-norbornen-2-one [29] with NaBD₄ (>98.5% D, *Fluka*) in dry THF, followed by esterification with BsCl/pyridine. M.p. 84-85° ([3]: 87°). - 2 H-{ 1 H}-NMR. (CCl₄ + CDCl₃): δ_{D} = 5.31 ppm (see *Fig. 5*). - MS. (70 eV): 98-99% D₁.

Exo-(2endo-D)-5-Norbornen-2-yl brosylate (6), see [10]. M.p. 79.5-80.5°. $- {}^{2}H-{}^{1}H-NMR.$ (CCl₄ + CDCl₃): $\delta_{D} = 4.65$ ppm (see Fig. 5). - MS. (70 eV): 98–99% D₁.

Trifluoroethanolysis of 6. The exo-brosylate 6 (2 g, 6.1 mmol), freshly distilled (CaO) pyridine (0.6 ml, 7.4 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (6 ml) and freshly distilled (K₂CO₃, N₂) CF₃CH₂OH (Fluka, puriss.) were placed in a Pyrex tube that was sealed *i.V.* after complete degassing. After 4 days at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$, the mixture was poured into ice/water (20 ml) and extracted with ether (100 ml). The organic extract was washed successively with HCl 1N (3×20 ml) and sat. aq. NaHCO₃-solution. After drying (MgSO₄)



Fig. 5. ${}^{2}H - {}^{1}H - NMR$. spectra of the exo-brosylate 6 and endo-brosylate 7

the solvent was removed under reflux, the residue was distilled *i*. V. Yield: 1.14 g (98%), colourless liquid (8+9+10+11); separation by preparative GC. (polyphenyl ether 20%, chromosorb WAW 60/80 mesh, 3.2 m×8 mm, 110°, 120 ml/min H₂). The first fraction contained the (D₁)-2exo-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-norborn-5-enes 9+10. – ¹H-NMR. (CCl₄): 5.98 (m, 1H); 5.86 (m, 1H); 3.85 (qa, ³J_{HF} = 8.5 Hz, 2 H); 3.58 (m, 0.5 H); 2.82 (m, 1.5 H); 1.8–1.26 (m, 4 H). – ²H-{¹H}-NMR. (CCl₄ + CDCl₃), cf. Figure 1A.

The second fraction contained the (D_1) -3-(2, 2, 2-trifluoroethoxy)nortricyclanes 11+12. - ¹H-NMR. (CDCl₃): 3.8 (*qa*, ³*J*_{HF}=8.5 Hz, 2 H); 3.69 (*m*, 1 H); 2.01 (*m*, 1 H); 1.86 (*m*, 1 H); 1.5-1.0 (*m*, 5 H). - ²H-{¹H}-NMR. (CCl₄ + CDCl₃), *cf. Figure 1B* and *Table*.

Trifluoroethanolysis of 7. Same procedure as above, except that heating to 80° for 4 days was required for complete reaction. Product analysis, cf. Table and Figure 2.

Common ion effect on the trifluoroethanolysis of 6'. The exo-brosylate 6' (0.59 g, 1.8 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) was added to a solution of pyridinium brosylate (0.8 g, 2.6 mmol) in CF₃CH₂OH (5 ml) and pyridine (0.079 g, 1 mmol). After stirring at 0° for 105 min (*ca.* 1 $\tau_{1/2}$) the reaction mixture was poured into ice/water (10 ml) and extracted with ether (50 ml). The organic phase was washed successively with HCl 1N (2×20 ml) and sat. aq. NaHCO₃-solution (2×20 ml). After drying (MgSO₄), the solvent was distilled off under reflux and the volatile solvolysis products eliminated *i.V.* The residue was a 1:1 mixture of 6/8 as shown by ¹H-NMR. and TLC. (SiO₂+10% AgNO₃, hexane/THF 60:40).

Acetolysis of 6. Acetic acid (AcOH) (Fluka, p.a.) was heated under reflux for 12 h in presence of 10% (ν/ν) of freshly distilled acetic anhydride (Fluka, p.a.) and then distilled through a 60 cm Hempel column. The fraction b.p. 116.5-117.5° was collected and stored under N₂ after addition of 1% of acetic anhydride. The water content was checked periodically by the method of Bruckenstein [30]. Potassium acetate (AcOK) (Merck, puriss.) was dried at 180° for 4 days and stored under N₂. The brosylate 6 (2 g, 6 mmol) and a solution of AcOK 0.6M in AcOH (12.2 ml) were placed in a Pyrex tube that was sealed *i.V.* after complete degassing *i.V.* After 4 days at 25°, the solvolysis mixture was poured into ice/water (20 ml) and extracted with ether (100 ml). The organic extract was washed successively with H₂O (3×20 ml) and sat. aq. NaHCO₃-solution (3×20 ml). After drying (MgSO₄), the solvent was distilled off under reflux and the residue distilled *i.V.* Yield: 0.9 g (98%), colourless oil, containing 13+14+15+16 (>98%) and norbornadiene (<1%), separated by GC. (Carbowax 20 M 15%, Chromosorb WAW 60/80 mesh silylated, 2.3 m×8 mm, 120°, 120 ml/min H₂). Product analysis, cf. Table and Figure 3.

Acetolysis of 7 and 8. Same procedure as above, 4 days at 80°. Product analysis, cf. Table, Figure 4 and text.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-M. Sonney, P. Vogel & U. Burger, Tetrahedron Letters 1978, 825.
- [2] J. D. Roberts, W. Bennett & R. Armstrong, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 72, 3329 (1950).
- [3] S. Winstein, H.M. Walborsky & K. Schreiber, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 72, 5795 (1950).
- [4] J. D. Roberts, C. C. Lee & W. H. Saunders, jr., J. Amer. chem. Soc. 77, 3034 (1955).
- [5] a) S.J. Cristol & D.A. Beimborn, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 95, 3651 (1973); b) S.J. Cristol, T.C. Morrill & R.A. Sanchez, ibid. 88, 3087 (1966).
- [6] P. Vogel, R. Delseth & D. Quarroz, Helv. 58, 508 (1975).
- [7] C.C. Lee & E.C.F. Ko, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 96, 8032 (1974).
- [8] W. Kirmse & N. Knöpfel, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 98, 4672 (1976).
- [9] H.L. Goering & C.-S. Chang, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 99, 1547 (1977).
- [10] C. C. Lee & B.-S. Hahn, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 92, 2583 (1970).
- [11] P.R. Story & B.C. Clark, jr., 'Carbonium Ions', vol. III, Olah & Schleyer, Ed., Interscience, New York, N.Y. 1972, p. 1007-1098; L. Radom, D. Poppinger & R.C. Haddon, ibid., vol. V, 1976, p. 2403.
- [12] J. S. Staral, I. Yavari, J. D. Roberts, G. K. S. Prakash, D.J. Donovan & G.A. Olah, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 100, 8016 (1978); J. S. Staral & J. D. Roberts, ibid. 100, 8018 (1978).
- [13] a) R. D. Bowen, D. H. Williams, H. Schwarz & C. Wesdemiotis, J. chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1979, 261; b) F. Cacace & M. Speranza, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 101, 1587 (1979).
- [14] B.A. Levi, E.S. Blurock & W.J. Hehre, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 101, 5537 (1979).

- [15] G.A. Olah & G. Liang, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 95, 3792 (1973); 97, 1920 (1975).
- [16] M.J.S. Dewar, R.C. Haddon, A. Komornicki & H. Rzepa, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 99, 377 (1977).
- [17] S. Winstein & M. Shatavsky, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 78, 592 (1956); L. B. Lambert & A.G. Holcomb, ibid. 93, 2994 (1971); H. C. Brown & E.N. Peters, ibid. 97, 7442 (1975); H. C. Brown, E. N. Peters & M. Ravindranathan, ibid. 97, 7449 (1975); H. C. Brown, S. Ikegami, K.-T. Liu & G. L. Tritle, ibid. 98, 2531 (1976); J. B. Lambert & H. W. Mark, ibid. 100, 2501 (1978).
- [18] H. Tanida, Accounts chem. Res. 1, 239 (1968) and ref. therein; J. P. Dirlam, A. Diaz, S. Winstein, W.P. Giddings & G.C. Hanson, Tetrahedron Letters 1969, 3133; G.A. Olah & G. Liang, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 97, 2236 (1975); H.L. Goering, D.C.K. Chang & W.-S. Chang, J. org. Chemistry 42, 1145 (1977).
- [19] U. Burger, J.-M. Sonney & P. Vogel, Tetrahedron Letters 1978, 829; see compagnon paper.
- [20] N.A. LeBel & J. H. Huber, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 85, 3193 (1963); H.L. Goering & D.L. Towns, ibid. 85, 2295 (1963).
- [21] M. Geisel, C.A. Grob, R.P. Traber & W. Tschudi, Helv. 59, 2808 (1976).
- [22] J.B. Lambert & S.I. Featherman, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 99, 1542 (1977) and ref. therein.
- [23] S. Winstein, B. Appel, R. Baker & A. Diaz, Chem. Soc. Special Publ. 19, 1 (1965); R.A. Sneen, Accounts chem. Res. 6, 46 (1973); J.M. Harris, A. Becker, J.F. Fagan & F.A. Walden, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 96, 4484 (1974); V.F. Raaen, T. Juhlke, F.J. Brown & C.J. Collins, ibid. 96, 5928 (1974); F.G. Bordwell, P.F. Wiley & T.G. Mecca, ibid. 97, 132 (1975) and ref. therein; D.J. Raber, J.M. Harris & P.v.R. Schleyer, in 'Ions and Ion Pair in Organic Reactions', M. Szwarc, Ed., Wiley, New York, N.Y. 1974, p. 247.
- [24] P. Diehl & T. Leipert, Helv. 47, 545 (1964); H. H. Hantsch, H. Saitô & I. C. P. Smith, Progress in NMR. Spectr. 11, 211 (1977); D.E. Cane & P. P. N. Murthy, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 99, 8327 (1977); D.E. Cane & S.L. Buchwald, ibid. 99, 6132 (1977); P. M. Dewick & D. Ward, J. chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1977, 338; U. Burger, Chimia 33, 147 (1979); D.E. Cane & R.B. Nachbar, Tetrahedron Letters 1980, 437.
- [25] D. Quarroz & P. Vogel, Helv. 62, 335 (1979).
- [26] T. W. Bentley, F. L. Schadt & P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 94, 992 (1972); F. L. Schadt, T. W. Bentley & P. v. R. Schleyer, ibid. 98, 7667 (1976); C. Reichardt, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 18, 98 (1979).
- [27] N.L. Bauld, J. Cessac & R.L. Holloway, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 99, 8140 (1977).
- [28] J. Meinwald & J. K. Crandall, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 88, 1292 (1966).
- [29] P.K. Freeman, D.M. Balls & D.J. Brown, J. org. Chemistry 33, 2211 (1968).
- [30] S. Bruckenstein, Analyt. Chemistry 28, 1920 (1956).